

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,576	DE HAAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rose M Miller	2856	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Rose M Miller.

(3) _____.

(2) Otto B. Ross.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections on record

Claims discussed:

Claims 2, 3, 4, and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative was unable to confirm the acceptance of the Examiner's Amendment as the client (Applicant) was unavailable. Applicant's representative will discuss proposed Examiner's Amendment and continue discussions with Examiner once Applicant is available.